TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY

William F. Woodburn August 24, 2012



"Because your time matters"

118 Capitol Street Charleston, WV 25301

(304) 344-8463 ScheduleRealtime@gmail.com

Realtimereporters.net

XHIBIT

Page 9

- 1 A. Basically, yes.
- 2 Q. I kind of skipped over, you had said that
- 3 in preparing for today's deposition, you reviewed
- 4 some handwritten notes regarding the Cobham
- 5 acquisition. What else did you say? I'm sorry,
- 6 actually, my pen quit writing.
- 7 A. I just looked over some documents that
- 8 you showed me right there to see what kind of
- 9 chronological order we went about this in. It has
- 10 been a good while.
- 11 O. Did you review anything other than
- 12 Starkey Exhibit 1?
- 13 A. I probably looked at some old production
- 14 notes that I had, you know, from when we acquired
- it and what was producing, who the royalty owners
- 16 are, that sort of thing.
- 17 Q. Did you also review Starkey Exhibit 2,
- which is the memorandum of assignment and bill of
- sale into Cobham from Pennzoil? And this document
- 20 is dated October 15th, 1996.
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. You did not review that in preparation
- 23 for today's deposition?
- 24 A. That is correct.

- 1 the acquisition?
 - 2 A. The motivation, there was a fellow by the
 - 3 name of Jordan Hillyar that had an option to buy
 - 4 out Cobham and he came to us and asked if we was
 - 5 interested in picking that up and acquiring
 - 6 Cobham. And we then got interested in it and
 - 7 started doing due diligence.
 - g Q. And his name again, I'm sorry?
 - 9 A. George Hillyar.
 - 10 Q. Can you spell his last name? Is it H I L
 - 11 LARD?
 - 12 A. No, H A--H I L L--I can't spell. I don't
 - 13 know.
 - 14 Q. Okay. All right.
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. So Mr. Hillyar approached Prima about
- potentially exercising his option to buy out
- 18 Cobham, Correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Was that to buy out Cobham's interest
- entirely or simply a portion thereof?
- 22 A. 100 percent, everything, all Cobham had.
- 23 Q. Okay. How many counties did this
- 24 involve?

Page 10

Page 12

- 1 Q. Did you speak with anyone other than
- 2 counsel in preparing for today's deposition as a
- з 30(b)(6) designee?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you understand that you are speaking
- 6 on behalf of the company today--
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. --rather than in your individual
- 9 capacity?
- 10 When did Prima first begin considering the
- 11 Cobham acquisition?
- 12 A. Obviously, somewhat prior to when the
- 13 acquisition was actually accomplished, but I can't
- 14 tell you the specific date.
- 15 Q. Was it effectuated in less than a year
- 16 from the date that it was first contemplated?
- 17 A. I believe that to be true.
- 18 Q. Was it effectuated in less than six
- months from the date it was first contemplated?
- 20 A. Probably six months might have been in
- 21 the range.
- 22 Q. In the range. How did the acquisition
- 23 come about? Did someone from Prima contact
- 24 Cobham, the reverse? What was the motivation for

- 1 A. Uh, four.
- 2 Q. And what are those counties?
- з A. Wetzel, Harrison, Doddridge, Marion.
- 4 Q. My geography is not the best. Did
- 5 anything occur or did it involve anything outside
- 6 of West Virginia?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Were any of these border counties?
- 9 A. No

12

- 10 Q. Had Prima or Trans Energy ever been
- involved in a complete acquisition about rights--
 - MR. LEWIS: Ramonda, excuse me, he is
- 13 here from Prima. I know he has said Prima and
- 14 Trans Energy are together. You have already had
- $\,$ the opportunity to depose Trans Energy, and as you
- 16 know under the rules to do it again you have to
- get leave of the court, which you have not
- 18 done. He is only here with regard to Prima,
- 19 regardless of what he says.
- 20 Q. Do you know if Prima has been involved in
- 21 the acquisition of 100 percent of another entity's
- 22 rights at any other time with the exception of the
- 23 Cobham acquisition?
- 24 A. Not to my best recollection.

304-344-8463

TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY William F. Woodburn August 24, 2012

Page 15

Page 16

Page 13

- 1 Q. Okay, so not before Cobham or after.
- A. Not to my--the best of my recollection,
- 3 no.
- Q. Okay, so this was an isolated incident or 4
- an isolated type of transaction? 5
- A. It was a deal. 6
- Q. Did Prima have any prior experience in a 7
- 100 percent acquisition? I am gathering the 8
- answer is no based upon what you have told me.
- A. Yeah, to the best of my knowledge, yes, 10 that is correct. 11
- Q. Did you personally have any experience in 12
- a 100 percent acquisition of this type prior to 13
- the Prima-Cobham acquisition? 14
- A. Yes. 15
- O. Which other acquisitions have you 16
- personally been involved in? 17
- A. We purchased wells off of different 18
- 19 companies over the years.
- Q. 100 percent of the company's holdings? 20
- That is what I am getting to. 21
- A. Yes, okay. I don't recall right offhand 22
- 100 percent, let's put it that way. 23
- Q. Was Prima looking at the Cobham

- 1 Q. Mr. Hillyar as an individual?
- 2 A. I don't recall.
- 3 Q. And at the time that Prima was looking at
- this potential Cobham acquisition, was it
- interested in developing the Marcellus shale
- formation? 6
- Α. No. 7
- Under the leaseholds? Q.
- Α. No. 9
- But it was interested in additional 10 Q.
- development of the leaseholds? 11
- Yes, our intention, which we did, spent a 12 A.
- couple million dollars or so probably fixing up 13
- wells and putting wells in production and fixing 14
- gathering lines, building roads, doing service 15
- work, plus we were, you know, going to drill more 16
- wells and did drill some more on certain parts of 17
- it. 18
- Q. It is my understanding that Prima has not 19
- drilled any additional wells on the Blackshere 20
- lease and since acquiring certain rights--that is 21
- my term, I realize it is not your term--in 2004; 22
- is that correct? 23
- 24 A. Yes; it is.

Page 14

- acquisition for potential future development or
- simply buying production? Do you understand the 2
- distinction I am making? 3
- A. Both.

1

- Q. Both. When did Mr. Hillyar approach 5
- Prima about the potential buyout? 6
- A. Prior to the acquisition, that is all I 7
- can say.
- Q. That is all you can say. Are there any
- writings between Mr. Hillyar and Prima regarding 10
- this buyout and acquisition? 11.
- A. Probably. 12
- Q. Did Prima monetarily compensate 13
- Mr. Hillyar for the option? 14
- A. I don't recall. 15
- O. What were the terms of the deal between 16
- Prima and Mr. Hillyar regarding the exercising of 17
- his option to buy out Cobham? 18
- A. Well, in round numbers, I think he or his 19
- company, whatever you want to say, or his 20
- associates, they got about a quarter of a million 21
- dollars out of it and Cobham got about a quarter 22
- of a million and he got about 250,000 shares of 23
- Trans Energy stock. 24

- 1 Q. What was your due diligence plan with
- regard to the Cobham acquisition? 2
- 3 A. I went to their offices in Clarksburg,
- spent several days going over records and went to
- the field, spent several days looking over their 5
- holdings in Marion, Wetzel Counties, looking at 6
- 7 gas gathering systems, visiting sales meters where
- the gas was being sold to equitable and East
- Resources, looked at several wells, spent two or
- three days probably in Wetzel County looking over 10
- the Blackshere and related acreage in that area. 11
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry, how many days did you 12
- say you spent? 13
- A. I would say two or three. 14
- Q. Two or three days in Wetzel County? 15
- A. Uh-huh. 16
- Q. What exactly did you do while there in 17
- Wetzel County, specifically, with regard to the 18
- Blackshere leasehold? 19
- A. I just looked at the wells, looked over 20
- the gathering systems.
- 22 Q. You looked at the wells that were
- 23 potentially--
- 24 A. That were producing and ones that were

TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY

William F. Woodburn August 24, 2012

Page 19

Page 17

not producing; and, again, visited all of the
sales lines, all of the sales meters at that time
in the East and Equitable.

in the East and Equitable.MS. LYONS: Do you have an extra copy of

- 5 Starkey Number 1 that we can look at at the same
- 6 time? A is the Cobham acquisition.
 - MR. LEWIS: The November assignment?
- 8 MS. LYONS: The November 5, 2004.
- 9 MR. LEWIS: 2004 assignment? I might
- 10 actually have one. Here it is.
- 11 (The document was handed to the witness)
- 12 Q. Sir, are we both looking at the November
- 5th, 2004 assignment and bill of sale from Cobham
- 14 into Prima Oil?
- 15 A. Yes.

7

- 16 Q. Okay. I would like you to turn to the
- master well list, please. Can you specify which
- wells you actually visited on the Blackshere
- 19 leasehold specifically by reviewing the master
- 20 well lease of Starkey?
- 21 A. I could tell you some of them. 70, 71,
- 22 73.
- 23 Q. Slow down, sir, please. When you say 70,
- 24 71, which page are you looking at?

- 1 Q. Dozens of access roads. Well, how many
 - 2 access roads would you have traveled to get to
 - з wells 70, 71 and 73? I have never been to the
 - 4 leasehold, so, I am at a disadvantage.
 - 5 A. You have no conception of how the roads
 - 6 and access to these from the Morgan's Run or the
 - 7 Archie's Fork side. The average person if they
 - 8 would go out there if they were trying to find
 - 9 these would be lost 100 percent of the time. It
 - is a 100 percent wooded area and there are roads
 - 11 going every place.
 - 12 Q. Do you remember visiting any other
 - 13 specific wells?
 - 14 A. No.
 - 15 Q. And I wanted to point out that there are
 - 16 Blackshere wells on other pages of the exhibit.
 - 17 A. Right.
 - 18 Q. I didn't want you just to look at that
 - 19 page. And if your answer remains the same, that
 - 20 is fine. I just wanted to make sure you were
 - 21 looking--
 - 22 A. Right, I am aware of it.
 - 23 Q. In 2004, were you aware whether any other
 - 24 producers were operating on this leasehold?

Page 18

- 1 A. Page 4.
- 2 Q. Page 4, I'm sorry. I was on page 1.
- 3 A. Those are the only ones I can
- 4 specifically say we visited. We visited a lot of
- 5 those on the way to those wells, but I don't
- 6 recall the numbers, but--
- 7 Q. Okay, 70, I'm sorry--
- в A. 70--
- 9 Q. 71--
- 10 A. --73.
- 11 Q. Any particular reason those three stand
- 12 out in your mind?
- 13 A. They are pretty good producers.
- 14 O. And you would have looked at others on
- 15 the way to 70?
- 16 A. Yes, we would have looked at other wells
- on the lease while we were out there.
- 18 O. Are those three located in close
- 19 proximity to each other?
- 20 A. On the same ridge.
- 21 Q. On the same ridge. Are they all on the
- 22 same access road?
- 23 A. No, there are dozens of access roads out
- 24 there.

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Did you make any effort to determine if
- 3 other producers were operating on this leasehold?
- 4 A. I spent a couple days out there, two or
- 5 three days looking around, didn't see any.
- 6 O. Was that your only effort to determine if
- 7 other producers were on the leasehold? And by
- 8 producers, I mean gas producers, not oil
- 9 producers.
- 10 A. I don't understand the question.
- 11 Q. Okay, let me ask it this way. What
- effort did you make on behalf of Prima to
- determine if other natural gas producers were
- operating on the Blackshere leasehold?
- 15 A. At the time we did the due diligence, it
- was no one that was associated with that lease
- that I knew or had operated or was operating on it
- as far as well tendings and that sort of thing,
- was aware of any other producing wells on the lease.
- 21 Q. And what efforts, if any, did you make to
- 22 determine if there were others? That is my
- 23 question, not what you were generally aware
- of. What efforts did you make to investigate the

TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY William F. Woodburn August 24, 2012

Page 47

Page 48

Page 45

- 1 Q. You weren't present when Mr. Bagley asked
- Mr. Starkey to do that review, correct?
- з A. I don't recall.
- Q. In preparation for your testimony today
- as a corporate representative, you didn't ask
- Mr. Bagley if he asked Mr. Starkey to do that, 6
- correct? 7
- A. That's correct. 8
- Q. Would anyone other than Mr. Bagley have
- engaged legal counsel to do a review of the 10
- leasehold based upon the public records in Wetzel 11
- County on behalf of Prima Oil? 12
- 13 A. No. I mean, it would have been either he
- or I. 14
- Q. And you know it wasn't you. 15
- A. Yes, he would have handled that part of 16
- the deal. 17
- 18 Q. For the Cobham acquisition?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. As a part of your due diligence efforts 20
- and your physical inspection of the property, did 21
- you walk the perimeter of the leasehold? 22
- 23 A. No.
- Q. As a part of your due diligence efforts 24

- 1 Q. Let me ask it this way. Did you go down
 - a single access road that did not in your mind
 - lead to another lease that was subject to the 3
 - acquisition and that would not lead to a Cobham
 - well on the Blackshere lease? 5
 - 6 A. I probably went down access roads that
 - took me to leases that we did not own or were not
 - a part of this acquisition. You just--you have 8
- never been there, so you just can't understand the 9 10
 - massiveness of this piece of property and all of
- the acreage, no development, all trees, all 11
- wooded, all steep, no access, no houses, no 12
- phones, no nothing. You are four or five miles 13
- from anyplace and if you don't know where you are 14
- at, you are lost. 15
- O. So were you lost when you were going down
- these other roads? 17
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Okay. 19
- But if you don't have a map with you, a 20 A.
- topo, map or something to tell where you are 21
- really at, and it is very difficult to define what 22
- lease you are on and where you're at, very 23
- difficult. 24

- and physical review of the leasehold, did you go 1
- down a single access road or trail that you did 2
- not believe led to a Cobham well? For example, 3
- did you go down a single well--or a single road 4
- that is on this leasehold that you didn't believe 5
- was going to lead to a Cobham well? 6
- A. I went down lots of lease roads that led 7
- to other leases in that area.
- Q. My question was, on this leasehold, did
- you go down any access roads that you did not 10
- believe would ultimately lead to a Cobham well? 11
- 12 A. I went down a lot of access roads that I
- knew was ultimately going to lead to another 13
- 14
- Q. And why did you go down those? 15
- A. If you are looking over--if your doing
- due diligence, you need not only look over the 17
- 18 Blackshere, the Robinson, the Smith, there were a
- lot of other properties involved in this 19
- acquisition, so you would been going through this 20
- to other areas. 21
- Q. Oh, other leases that were subject to the 22
- Cobham acquisition? 23
- 24 A. Yes, yes.

- 1 Q. How many acres do you think you actually
- walked of the 300--I'm sorry, 3,800 acres?
- 3 A. The walking of it, not very much, but as
- far as riding four-wheelers or driving a pickup on 4
- the existing roads. 5
- Ο. Travel. 6
- Travel. 7 A.
- Travel. 8 Q.
- It is in miles.
- Five miles, ten miles? 10 O.
- 11 A. Looking over, probably five miles.
- So you would estimate that you inspected
- five miles of the leasehold? Is that fair? 13
- 14 A. Yes, that is fair.
- Did you contact anyone at the DEP to 15
- determine if other natural gas operators were on
- this leasehold, the Blackshere leasehold? 17
- 18 A. No.
- Q. And that would include a review of the 19
 - DEP web site to determine if there were other
- operators on this leasehold? 21
- 22 A. We did not.
- 23 Q. Did you even consider how you might
- potentially learn if there were other operators on

TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY

Robert Louis Shuman August 23, 2012



"Because your time matters"

118 Capitol Street Charleston, WV 25301

(304) 344-8463 ScheduleRealtime@gmail.com

Realtimereporters.net

EXHIBIT

B

TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY

Robert Louis Shuman August 23, 2012

Page 71

Page 72

Page 69

MS. CROYLE: Do you want to take a minute?

MR. LEWIS: I do. I don't have much else to review.

MS. LYONS: We will go off the

6 record. Let me know when you are ready.

7 (Recess at 2:52 p.m. until 3:07 p.m.)

8 MS. LYONS: Back on the record.

9 EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. LEWIS:

Q. Mr. Shuman, if you could please pick up Exhibit 2. It is the October 15, 1996 memorandum

Exhibit 2. It is the October 15, 1996 memorandum assignable of sale between Pennzoil and Cobham

14 Gas.

5

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Is this document clear and unambiguous on

17 its face?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Ms. Lyons asked you a series of questions

20 regarding the inspection of property and the

notice. Can you please elaborate on what may be a

reasonable inspection or reasonable for the

23 property's use?

24 A. Ask that again?

1 inquiry to go do further investigation.

2 Q. And then once you are on that inquiry to

3 go do further investigation, is that inspection or

4 investigation--would the standard be a reasonable

5 inspection or investigation and is that fact

6 sensitive?

7 A. Based on the cases, its appears to be a

8 fact--let me say it this way. The way the

9 different inquiry notice cases come down, they are

10 fact specific upon their decision or their

11 determination. An example would be the Hupp case

where you had a 500-acre tract, give or take,

unrecorded deed for 121 acres, I think. The

possession of the party under the unrecorded deed

was entirely consistent with the possession and

title of the party of the original 500. And the

17 Court came down and said that the two occupancies

or possession were not so hostile or adverse with

each other, they were actually consistent with

20 each other that the buyer--actually, it was a

21 bank, it was a foreclosure. But the creditor as

22 to the 500 acres that didn't have knowledge of the

23 unrecorded deed as to the 121 acres wouldn't have

24 been put on inquiry notice that there was a

Page 70

1 Q. Maybe I will split it into two

2 questions. If you are on inquiry notice and you

3 go out to a property, what would be--is the

4 standard that you have to look at every, single

inch of the property or is there a reasonable

6 standard involved in the duty for inquiry notice?

MS. LYONS: I would just object to

form. I believe he has already answered that when

9 I asked it.

7

R

18

10 A. I don't think that particular question

was asked. And it is--you are not on inquiry

notice until you actually discover something that

is adverse or possibly adverse--what was that?

MS. CROYLE: That was my phone. I'm

15 Sorry.

16 A. Possibly adverse. It is the fact that

you find something that is hostile or adverse that | 17

then under the four syllabus points of Pocahontas

19 Tanning and all of its progeny, it kicks you into

20 an inquiry mode. If you go out and you find

21 nothing, you have done your due diligence, by my

reading of those cases is that doesn't--the fact

that you are doing your due diligence, you have to

find something adverse or hostile to put you on an

1 hostile or adverse claim because the two

2 possessions were so consistent with each other.

3 That--that becomes different. If you are

4 talking about, are there two gas wells on a

5 3800-acre lease, leasehold site, two gas wells are

6 consistent with what Prima envisioned that they

7 were buying.

O. Is there anything in the documents of

9 record that you reviewed that would create in

10 Prima a duty to inquire?

11 A. Not in any of the documents of record,

no, not in my opinion. As a matter of fact, the

documents of record are consistent with the

opinion that Prima holds record title to the oil

and gas leasehold estate. Actually, they are

inconsistent with the purported assignment to Hope

or a bifurcation of those rights.

18 Q. Are you referring to Exhibit 3 when you

say that, that they are inconsistent?

20 A. Exhibit 3, which is an unrecorded

document, which we have no knowledge of whether it

22 was actually executed by the proper parties,

whether it was actually delivered and whether it

was actually accepted upon delivery and it was

In the case of: TRANS ENERGY, INC. v. EQT CORPORATION

ARNOLD SCHULBERG
August 22, 2012



"Because your time matters"

118 Capitol Street Charleston, WV 25301

(304) 344-8463 ScheduleRealtime@gmail.com

Realtimereporters.net

Win-U-ScriptO with Ward Indo

EXHIBIT C

Page 64

- leases are actually recorded?
- A. Yes. They're references to where you can find
- the lease.
- Q. Okay. And what's the remarks?
- A. I don't know. There aren't any.
- Q. Look on Page 1 of 3.
- A. Okay. 7
- Do you have a section or I guess a column that
- starts with the word "remarks"?
- Α. Yes. 10
- Q. What does that mean? 11
- A. It would be some description that the parties
- felt was necessary to explain what they were doing.
- Q. Okay. And what does it mean based on your
- review? 15
- A. Well, it appears that these first eight leases 16
- were actually not leases, they were fee property and
- that's what they say "Fee property, Pennzoil, to 18
- retain its interest and grant purchaser an oil and gas 19
- lease". 20
- Q. Okay. 21
- A. So they were including these properties, but 22
- attempting to explain that there, in fact, was no lease, 23
- 24

- I'm sorry. A list of the wells.
- Okay. Are these the wells on a particular lease
- or, do you know?
- A. Well, two of them appear to be on the John
- Stevens lease and 17 of them to be on the Blackshere
- Wells and company lease.
- Q. Now, there's nothing out by the remarks. Do you
- see that?
- Is yours blank? 9
- 10 Yes. Α.
- Q. Does that mean anything to you other than it's 11
- 12 blank?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And the remarks on the Exhibit A, they're 14
- blank after it looks -- appears to be the lessor/grantor 15
- B.W. Peterson. 16
- Do you see that? 17
- A. I do. 18
- Q. Do you have any understanding of why those are 19
- blank other than they're just blank?
- 21 A. I don't have any understanding on that.
- 22 Q. Do you know anything about Cobham?
- 23 A. I do not.
- that the reference is to the page, I assume, where 24 Q. Do you know what it operated on the Blackshere

- lease? 1
 - 2 A. I do not.
 - 3 Q. And my understanding is you did not look at any
- records from the DEP in regard to what it was producing
- on the Blackshere lease?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And we were talking about what the record chain
- of title shows regarding ownership of the Blackshere
- lease and we were dealing with -- I think we got up to
- Cobham; is that correct? 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what was your understanding after the Cobham?
- 13 A. We have an assignment from Cobham Gas Industries,
- Inc., to Prima Oil Company, Inc. 14
- Q. And do you know the date of that?
- It's, apparently, November 5th, 2004. Α. 16
- Q. And have you reviewed that --17
- 18 Α. Yes.
- -- document? 19 Q.
- Okay. And what is after that? 20
- A. Confirmatory Assignment and Bill of Sale from 21
- Cobham Gas Industries, Inc., and Belmont Energy, Inc., 22
- to Prima Oil Company, Inc. 23
- Okay. Is it fair to say that based on just the

- Pennzoil got the fee ownership of the oil and gas. And 1
- they're trying to explain that we're not conveying these 2 properties to you, we're just going to grant you a lease 3
- on those properties.
- Q. And then there are blanks and other portions of
- the remarks; is that correct?
- A. Yes; yes.
- Q. And do you have any information as to why they're 8
- 9
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- O. Is the Blackshere listed as one of the leases?
- The Blackshere is on here seven times with
- different lease numbers and I cannot account for that.
- Q. So I guess to answer my question, it is listed?
- A. Yes. 15
- 16 Q. Not once, but seven times?
- Α. Yes. 17
- Q. Okay. As a lease that was conveyed to Cobham 18
- from Pennzoil? 19
- MS. LYONS: Show my objection to the form. 20
- 21 A. It is an assignment of that lease.
- BY MR. MCMILLAN: 22
- 23 O. Okay. And Exhibit B, what is Exhibit B?
- Exhibit B appears to be a list of the leases.

Page 65

- record title, that there is a chain directly from the
- original lessor to Prima Oil? 2
- 3 A. Yes. There's a chain.
- Q. Based on the record?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, we talked a little bit about the recording
- statute, correct?
- ε А. Yes.
- And what it means. Q. 9
- And as I lawyer who practices and does 10
- title opinions, you're very familiar with the recording 11
- statute, are you not? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how long that recording statute has 14
- been around? 15
- 16 A. I don't.
- O. Were you involved with its creation or enactment 17
- or anything like that? 18
- A. No; no. 19
- Q. Now, do you know whether or not, as we sit here 20
- today, some of the recorded -- of the unrecorded working 21
- agreements and indentures between Hope and South Penn --22
- whether or not they've actually been recorded as we sit 23
- 24 here today?

- 1 Q. Do you need the original to record it?
 - 2 A. You would need either that or a certified
 - 3 original, I believe.
 - Q. Are there any other means by which to record an
 - unrecorded deed that you don't have the original for it
 - -- or any written instrument for which you don't have 6 the original? 7
 - MS. LYONS: To the extent you know. 8
 - No. I don't know. 9
 - BY MR. MCMILLAN: 10
 - 11 Q. Okay. In your discussions at CNG Development and
 - you getting wind or hearing about the working agreement,
 - and indeed seeing the modification dated 1922, was there
 - any discussion as to why it was not recorded? 14
 - 15 A. No.
 - Q. Was there any comments or suggestions made to 16
 - record it? 17
 - 18 A. No.
 - 19 Q. Or to make any efforts to try to record it?
 - 20 A. No.
 - 21 Q. And is it fair for me to assume that recording
 - something does put people on notice? 22
 - 23 A. I understand that's the nature of the recording
 - statute. Yes.

Page 66

- 1 A. I don't know.
- Okay. Would --2 Q.
- Could those documents be recorded today? 3
- MS. LYONS: Which specific documents are you 4 referring to? 5
- MR. MCMILLAN: I'm trying to be as -- kind 6
- of -- maybe I'll be more specific. I'm trying to kind 7
- of shortcut this, but the 1902 indenture that was 8
- between Hope Gas and South Penn. 9
- MS. LYONS: Well, let's mark it, so we're 10
- very clear. 11
- THE WITNESS: Would that be Exhibit 4? 12
- MR. MCMILLAN: Yeah. It has already been 13
- marked. Let me just be clear. 14
- Exhibit 4 is the working -- what 15
- I've characterized as the working agreement. I 16
- believe --17
- MS. LYONS: And I would show my objection to 18
- 19 that characterization.
- MR. MCMILLAN: Okay. 20
- A. I believe if an original could be found with --21
- given the fact that it had been notarized, it could be 22
- recorded. 23
- BY MR. MCMILLAN: 24

- 1 Q. Okay. Okay. You rendered an opinion in this case, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you have rendered an amended opinion in this
- case, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. All right. What is your opinion in this case as
- to who owns the rights and the type of rights under the
- subject Blackshere lease?
- 10 A. Based upon my review of the instruments listed in
- Exhibit 1 as well as my experience and expertise in the 11
- field of real property under West Virginia law, it is my 12
- opinion that EQT is the rightful, exclusive and lawful 13
- 14 holder of the subject gas leasehold with the exception
- of formations above the base of the Injun sand and a 15
- certain 671-acre tract within the 3,800-acre tract 16
- included in the Blackshere lease and a certain 250-acre 17
- tract within the 3,800-acre tract included in the 18
- 19 Blackshere lease.
- 20 Q. What's your basis?
- A. My basis is the review of the documents that I
- was asked to review and my experience.
- Q. What particular documents are you referring to
- that you rely on that provide you with this opinion that

TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY

Richard L. Starkey August 24, 2012



"Because your time matters"

118 Capitol Street Charleston, WV 25301

(304) 344-8463 ScheduleRealtime@gmail.com

Realtimereporters.net

EXHIBIT

D

Page 20

Page 17

- waived any type of opinion that Mr. Starkey has given him about the conversation. He has merely
- 2 expressed a fact that the conversation was based
- 3
- 4
- MS. LYONS: Then I can explore the facts. 5
- MR. LEWIS: I am just reminding him as to 6
- his opinion. It has not been waived. 7
- Q. Do you recall the conversation?
- A. I don't know that I recall the
- conversation with Bill, no. 10
- Do you recall having that conversation 11 Q.
- with any representatives of Prima Oil? 12
- 13 A. I think I had that conversation with
- Mark. 14
- 15 O. With Mark, and actually--did I say Bill?
- It was Mark who testified. You believe you had
- that conversation with Mark Woodburn. 17
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. When did you first hear about the
- division of the natural gas estates and certain 20
- leases in northern West Virginia?
- 22 A. Probably the '80's.
- 23 Q. And tell me exactly what you heard.
- 24 A. That there was a very inconsistently

- 1 A. I have been thinking about that and for
 - 30 years I have worked for Pennzoil and then East
 - Resources and now HG, and never once has it 3
 - applied to anything I have worked on. I have 4
 - worked on the Sistersville field, Wileyville 5
 - field, Stringtown, these are big fields, you know, 6
 - hundreds of leases, Mannington field; it doesn't 7
 - apply to any of them. 8
 - O. Did Mr. Clovis indicate that this 9
 - particular division of the oil from the natural 10
 - gas estate had applied to any title opinions that 11
 - he had actually drafted? 12
 - A. I couldn't tell you. I can't remember 13
 - that. 14
 - O. Can you give me any more context to that 15
 - conversation with Mr. Clovis?
 - A. No, this has been 30 years ago. I 17
 - haven't seen Mr. Clovis in probably 25 years. 18
 - Q. Okay. And have you ever heard of this 19
 - division between the oil and natural gas estates
 - from any other sources other than Mr. Clovis? 21
 - A. The complaint, obviously, it has it in 22
 - it. I was involved in a lawsuit, Saint Lukes, 23
 - that was, apparently, one of the leases that was

Page 18

- applied agreement which gave gas rights in 1 subleases somewhere to Hope, and oil rights to 2
- South Penn, but that--I didn't know exactly--I 3
- have never seen the agreement, so I don't know 4
- anything about it, really. 5
- Q. That was going to be my next
- question. Have you ever seen any written 7
- documents pertaining to that division, the two 8
- estates? 9
- 10 A. No, it is secret.
- 11 O. Do vou recall who would have made you
- aware of this potential division? 12
- 13 A. Probably Dave Clovis, would be my
- guess. He was at Davis, Bailey, Pfalzgraf, Hall 14
- and Clovis and we represented Pennzoil in the five 15
- or six counties around Wood County. 16
- That's--that's probably who would have told me 17
- about it because he had represented Pennzoil for 18
- many years. 19
- Q. Did you work with Mr. Clovis or anyone 20
- else on title opinions in which this potential 21
- division of the natural gas estates--I'm sorry, 22
- 23 the natural gas estate from the oil estate had
- been divided?

- subject to the agreement, but there was actually 1
- an assignment there. At some point, I can't 2
- remember who took the original lease, Hope or 3
- South Penn. They assigned their rights so that 4
- South Penn owned the oil and Hope owned the gas, 5
- 6 but there was actually an assignment of record;
- but I think it probably was because of that 7
- 800-acre lease and Jaybee actually owned the oil 9

treatment. It was in Ritchie County. It was an

- rights. They were the successor to South Penn.
- 10
- O. South Penn. 11

8

- A. And Dominion owned the gas rights; but 12
- they actually recorded an assignment. It wasn't 13
- just based on the secret agreement. 14
- 15 O. Why do you keep referring to it as a
- secret agreement? 16
- 17 A. Because it was a secret agreement.
- 18 Q. How do know that? Who told you that?
- It wasn't recorded. It was kept--it 19 A.
- was--no one could look at it.
- 21 Q. Did Mr. Clovis tell you that it was
- secret? 22
- 23 A. A Pennzoil land man told me that they
- didn't show it to anyone.

Page 28

Page 25

- 1 Mr. Kirsch occurred--you said within the last ten
- 2 years?
- з A. Right.
- 4 Q. Would it have occurred--
- 5 A. It may have been as a result of the Saint
- 6 Lukes case, it could have been what prompted me to
- ask him about it.
- 8 Q. Would it have been before 2004?
- 9 A. Maybe, I couldn't tell you for sure.
- 10 Q. Can you tell me whether it was the
- contemplated transaction between Cobham and Prima
- Oil that prompted you to speak with Mr. Kirsch?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. No, it was not?
- 15 A. No, it was not.
- 16 Q. Did your conversation with Mr. Kirsch
- 17 occur before that transaction--
- MR. LEWIS: One moment.
- MS. LYONS: Off the record.
- 20 (Recess at 11:40 a.m. until 12:05)
- MS. LYONS: Let's go back on the
- 22 record. Madam Court Reporter, could you read the
- 23 last question to the witness.
- 24 (The following question was read by the court

- 1 counties to determine whether Cobham had a good
- 2 assignment from Pennzoil.
- 3 Q. Did you actually go into Marion, Wetzel,
- 4 Doddridge Counties and obtain copies of the
- 5 recorded documents referenced on Exhibit A?
- 6 A. I don't know that I obtained copies.
- 7 Q. Did you actually travel to each of those
- 8 three counties in terms of your work for Prima on
- 9 this transaction?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you reviewed these documents?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You simply don't know if you made copies
- 14 of the documents.
- 15 A. No, I may have been given copies. I
- 16 don't know. It is not unusual.
- 17 Q. You would have--if you had been given
- 18 copies, who would have given them to you?
- 19 A. Trans Energy.
- 20 Q. Some representative of Trans Energy?
- 21 A. Right, but I don't recall that. That is
- 22 not unusual in a transaction like that that they
- 23 give you copies of older documents. Usually,
- every time I do a title opinion, I end up with a

- reporter: "Can you tell me whether it was the
- reporter: "Can you tell me whether it was the contemplated transaction between Cobham and Prima
- 3 Oil that prompted you to speak with Mr. Kirsch?")
- 4 A. I couldn't tell you for sure. It wasn't
- 5 related at all.
- 6 O. It is not related. You couldn't tell
- 7 whether it occurred before or after?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. We have discussed that with regard
- 10 to--any time I say "the transaction," if we could
- just understand that that is the transaction in
- which Cobham assigned rights to the Blackshere
- lease to Prima Oil on November 5th, 2004, just for
- 14 shorthand purposes, okay?
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. With regard to the transaction, you had
- told me that you drafted Exhibit A and that you
- would have reviewed the assignment and bill of
- 19 sale on behalf of Prima Oil?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you have any other involvement in
- 22 this transaction? Did you provide any other legal
- 23 services?
- 24 A. I went to the courthouses at various

- fist full of documents.
- Q. Prior to this transaction actually being
- 3 effectuated, did you prepare a title opinion?
- 4 A. I think I did.
- 5 O. So that would have been in 2004 that you
- 6 prepared a title opinion?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 O. And was that a title opinion with
- 9 reference to each of the leases on Exhibit A?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Including item number 8, Pennzoil to
- 12 Cobham?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 O. And that particular lease pertained--or,
- 15 I'm sorry, that particular assignment into Cobham
- would have included reference to the Blackshere
- lease which is at issue in this case?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And did your title opinion examine both
- 20 oil and gas rights?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. With regard to the Blackshere lease?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Would you consider that a full title

Richard L. Starkey August 24, 2012

Page 31

Page 32

opinion?

- A. It was not a full title opinion.
- з Q. How would you characterize it?
- 4 A. At the time we--it was a purchase of
- existing production and usually checked either the
- most recent assignment or maybe a few assignments 6
- back, but I didn't search it back until day 7
- one. It would have just been the leasehold side. 8
- I didn't examine the mineral ownership. 9
- O. Can you be more specific in terms of what 10
- documents you would have reviewed and how far back 11
- you would have gone with regard to the leasehold 12
- side, to use your terminology, other than what is 13
- reflected here? 14
- A. Yes, I couldn't tell you how far I went 15
- 16
- Q. Did you review that title opinion in 17
- preparation for your testimony today? 18
- Α. 19
- Q. Do you have a copy of it in your file? 20
- 21 A. I probably have one, sure.
- 22 O. And this title opinion--can we refer to
- it as an abbreviated title opinion? 23
- 24 A. Okay.

- MR. LEWIS: Hold on one second. I think 1
 - he hasn't been authorized to tell what he advised 2
 - 3 them of.

7

9

14

22

- MS. LYONS: We have already gone over 4
- this. This is a fact, it is not an opinion. And
- Mr.--let me finish. And Mr. Woodburn has already 6
 - testified to it and waived any privileges.
- MR. LEWIS: Could you please read back 8
 - her auestion?
- (The following question was read by the court 10
- reporter: "At some point you did advise 11
- representatives of Trans Energy or Prima Oil of 12
- this potential division of oil and natural gas 13
 - estates, correct?")
- MR. LEWIS: That assumes--that assumes a 15
- legal conclusion. What we talked about before was 16
- he discussed lore and legend. Now you are asking 17
- him to say what type of opinion he rendered as to 18
- whether or not there was a division. 19
- MS. LYONS: I would disagree, but I am 20
- happy to rephrase the question. 21
 - MR. LEWIS: I appreciate that.
- Q. At some point in time you advised--I 23
- 24 believe you said it was Bill Woodburn; is that

Page 30

correct?

- A. Mark, I think.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry, I keep getting that
- confused.
- At some point in time you advised Mark 5
- Woodburn of a lore and legend that you had heard 6
- from Mr. Clovis regarding the potential division
- of the oil from the natural gas estate under 8
- certain leases in which South Penn would have kept g
- the oil rights and Hope Natural Gas would have 10
- gotten the gas rights; is that correct? 11
- 12 A. We had that discussion at one time, ves.
- O. And what was the context of that 13
- discussion? I don't want to get into any legal 14
- opinions. 15
- MR. LEWIS: Could you put in a time 16
- frame, too? 17
- MS. LYONS: Yes, I can. 18
- Q. Can you give me a time frame for that 19
- discussion? 20
- 21 A. It would have been when they were going
- to drill a Marcellus well. I think that is when we discussed it. 23
- 24 Q. On the Blackshere lease or another lease?

1 Q. Fair enough. I'm sorry, you have to

- answer. з A. Yes.
- 4 Q. This abbreviated title opinion, you would
- have rendered that in 2004, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Prior to November 5th of 2004.
- A. Correct. 8
- O. When you were rendering your abbreviated
- title opinion prior to November 5th of 2004, did 10
- you advise anyone with Trans Energy or Prima Oil 11
- what you had learned from the Saint Lukes case and 12
- Davis Clovis regarding the potential division of 13
- the oil and natural gas estates for certain 14
- leases? 15
- 16 A. No.
- 17 O. Why not?
- A. It was rarely applied. It didn't seem to
- apply to this. There was nothing in the 19
- assignments to bring it up. 20
- 21 O. At some point you did advise
- representatives of Trans Energy or Prima Oil of 22
- this potential division of oil and natural gas 23
- 24 estates, correct?



TRANS ENERGY, INC., et al. v. EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY

REX CECIL RAY August 21, 2012



"Because your time matters"

118 Capitol Street Charleston, WV 25301

(304) 344-8463 ScheduleRealtime@gmail.com

Realtimereporters.net

EXHIBIT



Page 89

Page 86

- 1 A. No.
- O. Did you make any efforts to make that
- determination?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. And have you -- have you had any discussions 5
- with anybody relating to Pennzoil pertaining to the
- Blackshere lease at any time?
- A. No, sir. 8
- Q. Do you know whether or not at the time of the 9 acquisition by EQT of the Blackshere lease there were 1.0
- any conversations with folks at Pennzoil? 11
- A. No, sir. 12
- 13 Q. Are you able to determine how many wells were
- operating on the Blackshere lease that were not EQT 14
- 15
- MR. GOTTLIEB: Do you have a time period? 16
- BY MR. McMILLAN: 17
- O. At the time that you were looking to determine 18
- -- you heard -- you realized there were other gas 19
- wells? 20
- 21 A. How many?
- Yes. Q. 22
- A. Approximately -- approximately 25.
- 24 Q. Are you aware of any other abandoned wells on

- 1 Q. A lot of acreage to cover.
- 2 A. So you have to rely on -- on some records to
- point you in the right direction. DEP records. If
- there's access to maps, old maps, possibly old
- Pennzoil maps. You know, they have possibly the
- oldest. The old Columbia maps sometimes have old
- foreign wells on them. Would be foreign to Columbia.
- O. And I guess looking at the record room itself
- could assist? 9
- 10 A. Possibly.
- (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 7, MARCH 3, 2008 11
- NICHOLAS TO WOODBURN LETTER, MARKED FOR 12
- 13 IDENTIFICATION)
- Q. We'll mark this as Deposition Exhibit 6 -- 7
- for identification purposes. And ask you to identify
- that document for me if you can. 16
- 17 A. Would be a letter dated March 3rd, 2008, from
- Mark Woodburn -- or to Mark Woodburn from Patrick
- Nicholas. 19
- 20 Q. Do you know who Patrick Nicholas is?
- 21 A. Patrick Nicholas at this time was staff
- landman for Dominion,
- Q. Did he have any relationship with EQT?
- 24 A. At this time, no.

- the Blackshere lease that are not in production and
- not owned by other -- or there is no record producer 2
- 3 of the wells?
- 4 A. Not that I can recall. Cause when you look at
- the DEP records, sometimes they'll say "operator
- unknown."
- Q. But nobody went there physically on site and 7
- inspected and -- and reported back to you that they
- saw a bunch of old abandoned wells?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. All right. I assume EQT is capable of doing
- that, correct? 12
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Would it be difficult to do that?
- 15 A. Possibly. There may be wells that were
- drilled and not had any records available that you 1.5
- could even find even old maps of where they may be. 17
- 18 Q. Is it fair to say that it may be difficult for
- anybody to actually know what wells were on the
- Blackshere lease --20
- 21 A. A --
- 22 Q. -- even if they did a physical inspection or
- site inspection?
- 24 A. A lot of acreage to cover.

- 1 Q. What about now?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What's his position now?
- 4 A. Mr. Nicholas is regional land manager for
- special projects.
- Q. Do you know what he provided to Mr. Woodburn?
- 7 A. It says in this letter that he provided the
- 1902 working agreement and the 1922 amended thereto.
- Q. Do you know that the working agreement he
- referred to was Deposition Exhibit 1, which is in 10
- reference to working agreement to Gilmer County? 11
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. Did you know that?
- A. No, did not.
- Q. Would you agree with me that Deposition 15
- Exhibit 1, which purports to be a working agreement,
- pertains to just Gilmer County? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And, again, you've not seen this document 19
- 20 before; is that correct?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Nichols
- in regard to this letter? 23
- MR. GOTTLIEB: Outside of discussion with 24